ORIGINAL

FX PARTE OR LATE FILED



Joan Marsh

Director AT&T Federal Government Affairs Suite 1000 1120 20th St. NW Washington, DC 20036 202 457-3120 FAX 202 457-3110

July 29, 1999

TOTAL SOMMERCHICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Room TWB-204 Washington, DC 20554

Notice of Written Ex Parte Re:

> In the Matter of Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorizations from Ameritech Corporation, Transferor, to SBC Communications, Inc., Transferee

CC Docket No. 98-141

Dear Ms. Salas:

This is to inform you that today a written ex parte in the form of the attached letter and Motion of Ameritech Indiana is being submitted to Thomas Krattenmaker, William Dever, and Michelle Carey.

Two copies of this Notice are being submitted to the Secretary of the FCC in accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules.

Sincerely,

Joan Marsh

cc:

Thomas Krattenmaker William Dever Michelle Carey

No. of Copies rec'd O+Z List ABCDE





Joan Marsh
Director
AT&T Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th St. NW Washington, DC 20036 202 457-3120 FAX 202 457-3110

July 29, 1999

William Dever Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Room 5-C266 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Written Ex Parte

In the Matter of Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorizations from Ameritech Corporation, Transferor, to SBC Communications, Inc., Transferee, CC Docket No. 98-141

Dear Mr. Dever:

Attached please find the Motion of Ameritech Indiana to Withdraw from the Indiana URC docket established by the Indiana Commission to investigate ILEC provision of Operation Support Systems. The Motion is being submitted as yet another example of how the proposed SBC /Ameritech merger conditions can and are being interpreted by Ameritech as establishing a performance standard from which the States are discouraged from departing. Referencing the proposed merger conditions, and citing the possibility of "confusion" and "duplication of efforts," Ameritech has requested permission to withdraw outright from the OSS proceeding. Ameritech apparently believes that, given the possibility of FCC approval of the proposed merger conditions, any additional state proceedings are improper.

This Motion is particularly troubling given the much easier path available to Ameritech Indiana. Namely, Ameritech could have submitted the proposed conditions into the OSS docket so that it might inform and guide the Commission's consideration of the complex OSS issues. But Ameritech is instead seeking to have the FCC's consideration of the merger conditions act to preempt and supercede state consideration of these issues in



their entirety. If the proposed merger conditions are approved, the FCC can expect that all ILECs everywhere will seek to give the OSS and Parity of Performance conditions the same exclusively and preclusive authority.

Sincerely,

Joan Marsh

cc: Mr. Krattenmaker

Ms. Carey

SUPPORT SYSTEMS ("OSS")

FILED

STATE OF INDIANA

JUL 2 6 1999

REGULATORY COMMISSION

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S)	
GENERIC INVESTIGATION OF	ý	•
INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE	ý	Cause No. 41324
CARRIERS' PROVISION OF OPERATING	,	

RESPONDENTS: INDIANA BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC. D/B/A AMERITECH INDIANA; GTE NORTH, INC. AND CONTEL OF THE SOUTH INC.; AND UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A SPRINT

MOTION TO WITHDRAW FROM PROCEEDING

Respondent Indiana Bell Telephone Company. Incorporated, ("Ameritech Indiana" or "the Company"), by its attorneys, hereby moves to withdraw from participation in the above captioned proceeding. In support whereof, Ameritech Indiana states as follows:

- 1. On November 4, 1998, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

 ("Commission") issued an order initiating this Cause. As stated in its December 2, 1998 docket
 entry, one of the purposes of this cause was to determine if the incumbent local exchange carriers

 ("ILECs") were complying with the requirements of the FCC's Order No. 96-325, paragraph

 525. To that end, the Commission and the parties have been given on-site demonstrations at
 each of the incumbent local exchange carrier's ("ILEC's") OSS centers, including a
 demonstration at the Ameritech OSS Service Centers in Milwaukee, Wisconsin on January 27,

 1999.
 - 2. The Commission stated that the second phase of this proceeding is to develop appropriate performance standards for OSS. In its docket entry dated May 21, 1999, the

Commission scheduled a workshop to attempt to reach an agreement regarding development of performance standards to be held in this Cause on July 29, 1999 beginning at 10:00 a.m. in Room TC10 of the Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana.

- On June 25, 1999, SBC Communications Inc., Ameritech Corporation and Ameritech Indiana prefiled the Rebuttal Testimony of James H. Kahan in In The Matter Of The Investigation On The Commission's Own Motion Into All Matters Relating To The Merger Of Ameritech Corporation And SBC Communications Inc., IURC Cause No. 41255. Attached as Rebuttal Exhibit 1 to the testimony is the Voluntary Communication of SBC Communications Inc.. Ameritech and Ameritech Indiana, which addresses in Section V. A. a collaborative OSS process to be established after the Merger Closing Date.
- 4. On July 1, 1999, Ameriteth Corporation and SBC Communications Inc., filed an exparte in CC Docket No. 98-141 with the FCC which included "Proposed Conditions for FCC Order Approving SBC/Ameritech Merger" ("FCC Proposed Conditions"). In Sections III. IV and V of the FCC Proposed Conditions, Ameritech agreed to establish a collaborative process to establish OSS enhancements and additional interfaces. The FCC pur the FCC Proposed Conditions out for public comment and initial comments were filed July 19, 1999 and reply comments are due July 26, 1999.
- 5. Due to the pendency of the above-referenced IURC and FCC dockets, the OSS collaborative process involving Americach Indiana may finish prior to the conclusion of the workshops in this docket. To avoid confusion and unnecessary duplication of efforts in

establishing an OSS process for Ameritech Indiana, Ameritech Indiana respectfully requests leave to withdraw from the workshop process established in the instant cause.

WHEREFORE, in view of the foregoing, Ameritech Indiana urges that its Motion To Withdraw From Proceeding be granted, conclude

Respectfully submitted,

Sue E. Stemen (1988-49) AMERITECH INDIANA

240 North Meridian Street

Room 1826

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 Telephone: (317) 265-3676 Facsimile: (317) 265-3343

Theodore A. Livingston
John E. Muench
Christian F. Binning
Demetrios G. Metropoulos
MAYER, BROWN & PLATT

190 South LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone: (312) 782-0600 Facsimile: (312) 701-7711

Daniel W. McGill (9489-49) BARNES & THORNBURG 11 South Meridian Street

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Telephone: (317) 231-7229 Facsimile: (317) 231-7433

Attorneys for Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated

d/b/a Ameritech Indiana